Predictions on dynamic evolution of compositional mixing degree in two-component aggregation
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Abstract

The compositional distribution in two-component aggregative mixing of initially bidisperse particle populations can be described by a Gaussian-type function, which is determined by the mixing degree \( \chi \) (assessed quantitatively by the mass-normalized power density of excess component A), and the overall mass fraction \( \phi \) (a known value from the initial feeding condition) of component A. It is known that \( \chi \) will reach a steady-state value \( \chi_1 \) over time (factually, after attaining the self-preserving size distribution), and \( \chi_1 \) is only relevant to \( \phi \), namely the feeding condition. However, the dynamic evolution of \( \chi \) before the attainment of a steady-state value is not exactly known. In this paper, the fast differentially-weighted Monte Carlo method for population balance modeling was used to predict the dependence of time-varied \( \chi \) on initial feeding conditions through hundreds of systematically varied simulations. It is found that \( \chi \) is subject to an exponential decay, largely depending on the ratio of steady-state mixing degree and its initial value \( \chi_1/\chi_0 \). With the explored exponential formulas for the dynamic mixing degree, it is possible to attain an optimum control on the compositional distributions during two-component aggregation processes through selecting the initial feeding parameters, and the time needed for reaching a steady-state is investigated.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the research of particle behavior, multicomponent aggregation has become a focal point, since it represents the basic physical mechanism of size enlargement (Hosseini, Bouaswaig, & Engell, 2013), and has a wide application in wet granulation (Barrasso & Ramachandran, 2012), crystallization (Hofmann & Raisch, 2013), atmospheric aerosols (Kuang, McMurry, & McCormick, 2009), granulation of powders (Iveson, 2002) and synthesis of nanoparticles (Friedlander & Smoke, 2000) etc. Nevertheless mostly studies focused on single component systems, theoretical analysis of two-component aggregation is just beginning. In order to study different particles properties during these processes, the evolution of the degree of mixing is of vital importance. Matsoukas, Lee, and Kim (2006) firstly studied the sum-square of excess component to quantify the degree of blending and certified it was constant under partially mixed states and kernels of the sum type. Then Lee, Kim, Rajniak, and Matsoukas (2008) defined a related intensive parameters \( \chi \) (mixing degree) through normalizing the sum-square of excess component by the mass of all granules, which was proposed as the mass-normalized power density of...
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excess component. Furthermore, the relationship between $\chi$ and mean particle size can test how the kernel influences blending of components (Matsoukas, Kim, & Lee, 2009) and the precision of computation method (Lee et al., 2008). $\chi$ is defined as (Marshall, Rajniak, & Matsoukas, 2011):

$$\chi = \frac{X^2}{M} = \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 dm_0 m_0 \chi^2 f(m, t) g(m_1 m_2 | m, t) \, dm_0 m_1 m_2}{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 dm_0 m_0 \chi f(m, t) g(m_1 m_2 | m, t) \, dm_0 m_1 m_2}$$

(1)

where $x$ is the amount of component A in excess of the amount $\phi m: x = m_A - \phi m = m_A - \phi(m_A + m_B)$; $X^2$ is the sum-square of excess component; $\phi$ is the overall mass fraction of component A, keeping constant during aggregation: $\phi = N_{A0}/(N_{A0} + N_{B0}); g(m, t)$ is the component-independent particle size distribution function so that $f(m, t) dm$ represents the number concentration of particles in the mass range of $m$ to $m + dm$; $g(m_0 | m)$ is the compositional distribution of component A, which is the fraction of particles of mass $m$ that contain component A in the mass amount $(m_A + m_B m_B)$.

Matsoukas et al. (2006) stressed the steady-state value of $\chi$ was the single most important parameter that decided the width of the distribution of components in all size classes and this value largely depended on the initial state, based on kernels that are independent of composition. This probability density $g(m_0 | m)$ was certified as a Gaussian-type function theoretically in the steady state condition, then this conclusion was extended to the evolution process which could be expressed as (Lee et al., 2008; Zhao & Kruis, 2014):

$$g(m_A | m, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi m_\chi}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(m_A - \phi m)^2}{2m_\chi} \right]$$

(2)

Depending on both composition-independent and -dependent kernels, it is found that the compositional distributions become self-preserving, when the degree of mixing ($\chi$) reaches its steady-state value (Krapivsky & Ben-Naim, 1996; Vigil & Ziff, 1998). And this Gaussian-type form was validated through PBM based on the constant-number method and the differentially-weighted Monte Carlo method (Lee et al., 2008; Zhao, Kruis & Zheng, 2011). According to analysis and model fitting from hundreds of simulations, the steady-state value of $\chi$ ($\chi_\infty$) was well predicted by the initial feeding condition for Brownian aggregation either in the free-molecular (Zhao & Kruis, 2014):

$$\frac{\chi_\infty}{\chi_0} = \exp \left[ -\gamma (\phi - \phi_{\beta-1})^2 \right]$$

(3)

or in the continuum regime:

$$\frac{\chi_\infty}{\chi_0} = \exp \left[ -(\phi - \phi_{\beta-1})^2 / \sqrt{2} \right]$$

(4)

where $\phi_{\beta-1}$ is a simplified expression of $\phi$, when particle diameter ratio $\beta$ is set as 1: $\phi_{\beta-1} = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha}$. $\alpha$ is the number ratio; $\gamma$ is the density ratio between two components.

As there exists a prediction formula of $\chi_\infty$, we assume that there is a certain functional relation between $\chi(t)$ and the initial state parameters. This paper concentrates on investigating the whole evolution of the mixing degree based on reliable population balance modeling. First, based on Eq. (2), with known $\chi(t)$, the compositional distribution of each component can be obtained through the probability density function $g(m_A | m) dm_A$. In this way, it is possible to predict and control the whole evolution of the compositional distribution and the degree of mixing to optimize two-component aggregative mixing by properly selecting the initial mass and number concentrations of component A and B in the feeding. Second, as an ultimate state, the steady-state is only approached by the previous investigators through waiting a long time (Matsoukas et al., 2009; Zhao & Kruis, 2014). The time needed (time-lag) to reach the steady-state can be obtained here.

For example, about gas-fluidization of nano-particle mixtures in magnetically assisted fluidized bed, the mixing degree and the compositional distributions of SiO$_2$ and ZnO can affect the fluidization stability (Zeng, Zhou & Yang, 2008). Thus if we know how the initial condition affects the mixing degree, it is able to optimize the fluidization performance in the whole process. Meanwhile this discussion can contribute to the mechanism explanation between the component distribution and the fluidization behavior. The dynamic evolution of the mixing degree is helpful for the optimal control of this kind process. From another point of view, during the Fe–Pt synthesis, we can know how long it takes to reach the steady-state or a certain composition distribution. Because Fe–Pt alloys with different Fe/Pt compositions have various crystal structures, chemical and physical properties, leading to different nominal atomic rations. It is helpful for investigating the way of crystal growth and the formation of different nominal atomic rations (Liu et al., 2014).

The aim of this paper is to gain insight into the functional relation between $\chi(t)$ and the certain parameters in the initial state of two-component aggregation processes, such as $\chi_0$ (here $\chi_0 = (1 - \phi)\phi(m_{A0}(1 - \phi) + m_{B0}\phi)$), and the steady-state value $\chi_\infty$. The paper is organized as follows: first, in Section 2, to simulate two-component PBM we introduce the differentially weighted Monte Carlo (DWMC) method. Then in Section 3, the numerical results for Brownian aggregation are shown. By a series of initial conditions, the possible influencing factors on $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ are analyzed and an empirical formula giving an estimation of $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ is found. Finally, the empirical formula is validated and conclusions are given.
2. Methodology

Here the population balance-Monte Carlo (PBMC) method is adopted, which are capable of simulating a large number of internal variables in a straightforward manner. As the governing PBE, the Smoluchowski’s equation for two-component aggregation is (Lushnikov, 1997):

$$\frac{d(m_A, m_B, t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{m_A} \int_0^{m_B} K(m_A - m_A', m_B - m_B', m_A', m_B') m(m_A - m_A', m_B - m_B', t) n(m_A', m_B', t) dm_A' dm_B'$$

(5)

Here $K(m_A, m_B; m_A', m_B')$ is the aggregation rate coefficient (kernel) between a particle $(m_A, m_B)$ and another particle $(m_A', m_B')$. The differentially-weighted Monte Carlo method (DWMC) method is adopted here to determine the distributions of the multivariate properties over their full-spectrum more accurately, whereas conventional MC methods are accurate only in those regions of the spectra with sufficient simulation particles (Zhao, Kruis, & Zheng, 2010, 2011; Zhao & Zheng, 2011, 2013). The weight of a differentially weighted particle $i$, $w_i$ indicates that the simulation particle $i$ represents $w_i$ real particles having the same internal variables as $i$.

In the transition regime A, the kernel is composed by Brownian coagulation kernel in the slip flow regime $K_{slip}^B$, and Brownian coagulation kernel in the free molecular regime $K_{m}^B$, where $K_{slip}^B = K_{coag} \left( v_i^{1/2} + v_j^{1/2} \right) \left( \frac{C_i}{\sqrt{\pi \mu}} + \frac{C_j}{\sqrt{\pi \mu}} \right)$ if $K_{m}^B = 2K_{\infty} \frac{C_i}{\sqrt{\pi \mu}} \left[ 1 + \frac{C_i}{\sqrt{\pi \mu}} + \left( \frac{C_i}{\sqrt{\pi \mu}} \right)^{1/3} + \frac{C_j}{\sqrt{\pi \mu}} \left( \frac{C_j}{\sqrt{\pi \mu}} \right)^{1/3} \right]$; the correction factor $C_i = 1 + 2.514 \lambda \left( \frac{6\mu}{D_i} \right)^{-1/3}$, $C_{max} = 1 + 2.514 \lambda \left( \frac{6\mu}{D_i} \right)^{-1/3}$; $\lambda$ is the mean free path of the medium (Kazakov & Frenklach, 1998; Patterson, Singh, Balthasar, Kraft, & Wagner, 2006).

However in the Brownian coagulation in the transition regime B, we adopt the physically realistic Brownian collision kernel (Jacobson, 2005); for normal kernel, the Stokes-Cunningham slip correction factor is redefined as $C_i = 1 + \frac{1}{D_i} \left[ 2.493 + 0.84 \exp(-0.435 d_i/\lambda) \right]$; the diffusion coefficient for particle $i$ is $D_i = \frac{k_B T}{3\pi \eta d_i}$, where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the absolute temperature, $\eta$ is the viscosity of the fluid, and $d_i$ is the diameter of the particle. The transition parameter of particle $i$ is $\tau_i = \frac{8\eta d_i}{\pi \mu}$; the transition parameter of particle $i$ is $\tau_i = \frac{8\eta d_i}{\pi \mu} \left[ \frac{1}{6\pi \eta d_i} \right] \left[ (d_i + l_i)^3 - \left( d_i^2 + l_i^2 \right)^{3/2} \right] - d_i$; for majorant kernel, two simplified kernel is $K_i(d_i, d_j) = C_a \left[ d_i + d_j + C_b \left( d_i^{1/2} + d_j^{1/2} + \frac{C_i}{\sqrt{\eta}} + \frac{C_j}{\sqrt{\eta}} \right) \right] \left( d_i^{-1} + d_j^{-1} + C_c d_i^{-2} + C_c d_j^{-2} \right)$ and $K_j(d_i, d_j) = K_{m}^B \left( C_i + l_j^2 \right)$ respectively, where $C_a = \frac{2k_B T}{\eta d_i}$, $C_b = \frac{\sqrt{164k_B T d_i^{23}}}{\pi}$, $C_c = 3.39 \lambda$.

Then the fast DWMC method is used to accelerate PBMC simulation (Xu, Zhao, & Zheng, 2014, 2015), where the majorant of coagulation kernel $K_i$ is developed to calculate the coagulation probability of all particle pairs by single looping over all particles rather than double looping. We consider six kinds of kernel, especially for Brownian kernel in the transition regime, which is added here by two different kernels A and B. One is simplified; the other is more complex and realistic (Wei, 2014).

Table 1 summarizes six typical aggregation kernels in different regimes and their weighted majorant kernels, and Table 2 shows the specific conditions in simulation cases.

3. Results

3.1. $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ for Constant coagulation and Linear coagulation

The fast DWMC is used to simulate 25 valid cases (Case 5, 6 in Table 2) of more simplified kernel Constant coagulation and Linear coagulation, respectively. It has been concluded that if the kernel can be expressed in additive contributions from granule, i.e.:

$$K(m_A, m_B; m_A', m_B') = K(m_A, m_B) + K(m_A', m_B')$$

(6)

Leading (Matsoukas et al., 2009)

$$\frac{d\chi}{dt} = 0$$

(7)

In this case, the variance of excess solute is constant during aggregation and equal to its value at zero, regardless of initial conditions. The simulation results certify the conclusion, that all the cases with varied $\alpha$, $\beta$ leading to the same constant evolution for Linear coagulation. Here time is made dimensionless with the characteristic aggregation time scale, $t_{coag}$, which is defined as $1/(2K_0 N_0)$ for an initially bidisperse distribution, and $K_0$ is the initial mean kernel over all possible particle pairs. However for more usual cases like Brownian coagulation, Eq. (7) is not satisfied any more, which is emphasized in this paper.
During the whole evolution process, the value of $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ usually keeps the same order from its initial value to its steady one. Moreover, the steady value $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ is able to be well predicted by initial feeding condition and parameters associated with the Brownian aggregation kernel. So it is speculated that $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ is largely controlled by the initial degree of mixing. Introducing the following ratios:

$$\alpha = \frac{N_{A0}}{N_{B0}} \quad \beta = \frac{d_{A0}}{d_{B0}} \quad \gamma = \frac{\rho_A}{\rho_B}$$

(8)

Define the overall number fraction of component A:

$$\psi = \frac{N_{A0}}{N_{A0} + N_{B0}} = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha}$$

(9)

and calculate the overall mass fraction of component A:

$$\phi = \frac{M_{A0}}{M_{A0} + M_{B0}} = \frac{\alpha \beta^3 \gamma}{1 + \alpha \beta^2 \gamma}$$

(10)
It is possible to calculate the initial degree of mixing $\chi_0$ in the bidisperse case as (Lee et al., 2008; Matsoukas et al., 2009):

\[
\chi_0 = (1 - \phi) \phi (m_{A0} (1 - \phi) + m_{B0} \phi) = \frac{\alpha \phi^2 \gamma (1 + \alpha)}{1 + \alpha \phi^2 \gamma} m_{A0} = \frac{\phi (1 - \phi)^2}{(1 - \psi)^3} m_{A0}
\]  

(11)

We concentrate on a large amount of simulation data, which is applied by the composition-dependent case (Case 2). Taking $\alpha$ at 10 as an example with varied $\beta$, Fig. 1 shows the mass-normalized power density of excess component A ($\chi$) against time made dimensionless with the characteristic aggregation time scale, $\tau_{\text{coag}}$. It is discovered that the evolution of $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ is monotone decreasing, and its decreasing rate slows down gradually. With time goes on, it approaches steady-state value. Among kinds of elementary functions, we deduce if it can be fitted with an exponential decay, in the formation:

\[
\chi(t)/\chi_0 = \chi_\infty/\chi_0 + C_1 \exp(-t/C_2)
\]

(12)

where $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ decreases at a rate proportional to its current value:

\[
\frac{d\chi(t)}{\chi_0} / dt \propto -\frac{1}{C_2} \chi(t) / \chi_0
\]

(13)

Here $C_2$ is called exponential time constant, as a relevant parameter of the rate. Meanwhile Eq. (13) satisfies the Markov model in the DWMC method, where future states depend only on the present state and not on the sequence of events that preceded it.

As shown in Fig. 2, more precisely at logarithmic axis, where MC simulation is repeated five times, and the standard error of Eq. (12) fitting is $6.325 \times 10^{-4}$. In addition, we can see the exponential law is fairly coincident with the simulation value during the evolution process, even if the steady-state value is not pretty stable. As long as the dimensionless steady-state

---

**Fig. 1.** $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ against the dimensionless time with $\alpha$ fixed at 10, varied $\beta$ (Case 2).
value is below 0.9, when there is a considerable decrease, the exponential formulation is able to describe the evolution process.

We introduce four coefficients altogether: $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4$, to calculate the coefficients in the fitting function step by step, where $C_2=f(C_3, C_4)$. Firstly, it is evident from Eq. (12) at the beginning of the evolution

$$C_1 + \chi(0)/X_0 = 1 \quad (14)$$

At $t=0$, $\chi(0)/X_0$ is equal to 1. As shown in Fig. 3, MC simulation result agrees well with Eq. (14).

Then, we focus on $C_2$, which is a key parameter determining the evolution rate. Based on population balance modeling, the evolution of mixing degree is calculated as (Lee et al., 2008)

$$\frac{d\chi}{dt} = \frac{1}{M} \int_0^\infty dm \int_0^\infty dm' \int_0^{M_d} dm_A \int_0^{M_d} dm_B \chi \times \chi \times K(m_A, m_B; m_A', m_B')$$

$$\times f(m, t) g(m_A|m, t) f(m', t) g(m_B|m', t) \quad (15)$$

where $K(m_A, m_B; m_A', m_B')$ is the aggregation rate coefficient (kernel) between a particle $(m_A, m_B)$ and another particle $(m_A', m_B')$. Thus all the possible influence factors should be contained in the Brownian aggregation kernel, which is detailed written in Table 1. Therefore $\chi(t)/X_0$ may be related to the following similar parameters: $T, N_{d_0}, d_{d_0}, \rho_A, \alpha, \beta,$ and $\gamma$

For this analysis, it is certified that using different $T$ while keeping the other parameters constant, does not influence $\chi(t)/X_0$ as well as $\chi(t)/X_0$. Similarly, it is found that $N_{d_0}, d_{d_0}$, and $\rho_A$ are non-influencing parameters for both $\chi_\infty/X_0$ and $\chi(t)/X_0$ as long as the parameters $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ are kept constant (Zhao & Kruis, 2014). The independence of the time evolution of the degree of mixing from the values of $T, N_{d_0}, d_{d_0}$, and $\rho_A$ is due to the dimensionless representation of the results and the dimensionless time $\tau_{coag}$.

Obviously, the left three parameters $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ is thus concluded to be the parameters of the function $\chi(t)/X_0$.

The steady-state $\chi_\infty/X_0$ and the evolution $\chi(t)/X_0$ depend on same parameters $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$. $\chi_\infty/X_0$ has already been established by a combination of them as Eqs. (3) and (4) shown. We want to know if there exists a one-to-one correspondence between $\chi(t)/X_0$ and $\chi_\infty/X_0$. However with a constant $\chi_\infty/X_0$, there can be an evidently different evolution process as Fig. 4 show. To further explore their relation, the control variable method is adopted here. Once two parameters, for example $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ are fixed, a deterministic relation between $\chi(t)/X_0$ and the remaining parameter $\beta$ can be obtained by fitting the PBMC simulation results. Certainly, as an important indirect parameter $\chi_\infty/X_0$, which is predicted by Eq. (3) in free molecular regime, it should be taken into account to help find regulations between $\chi(t)/X_0$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$.

3.3. $\chi(t)/X_0$ as function of $\alpha$ and $\beta$: composition-independent case ($\gamma=1$) in the free molecular regime

With respect to composition-independent Brownian aggregation in the free molecular regime (i.e., $\rho_A=\rho_B$, so that $\gamma=1$), we vary systematically the relevant parameters to obtain the relation between $C_2$ and $\alpha, \beta$. And it is already proved that in the free-molecular regime $\chi_\infty/X_0$ satisfies Eq. (3). When $\gamma=1$ and $\alpha=0.1$, $C_2$ is a function of $\chi(t)/X_0$, with different $\beta$. We use the simulation results of the fast DWMC method to fit the function $C_2=f(\chi_\infty/X_0)$, which is fitted to the following linear function, as shown in Fig. 5:

$$C_2 = C_3 \ln(\chi_\infty/X_0) + C_4 \quad (16)$$

![Fig. 2. $\chi(t)/X_0$ as function of the dimensionless time: satisfying exponential function (Case 1).](image-url)
We simulate 7×19 cases, where α=0.03, 0.067, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.43, 0.67 and ϕ are given a value among {0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, ..., 0.90, 0.95}, respectively. Each one is well fitted into a linear function with an approximately equal slope C3. Under every specified α, the values of the fitting constants C3 are shown in Fig. 6, where it can be seen that the constants C3 is close to 2.5. Obviously, C4 (the intercept presented in Fig. 6) is the function of α, C4=f(α). As shown in Fig. 8, C4 is fitted into the following formula as:

\[ C_4 = 2(α + 1/α) \]

To summarize, firstly we assume \( \chi(t)/\chi_0 \) can be fitted with an exponential decay as Eq. (12). We introduce four coefficients C1, C2, C3, and C4 altogether. Here C2 is called exponential time constant, as the key parameter to the mixing degree, where C2=f(C3, C4). Under every specified α, the values of the fitting constants C3 are shown in Fig. 6, where the value is set as 2.5. C4 as the function of α is shown in Fig. 7 as Eq. (17).

Therefore, \( \chi(t)/\chi_0 \) as a function of t can be effectively approximated as:

\[ \frac{\chi(t)}{\chi_0} = \left(1 - \frac{\chi_\infty}{\chi_0}\right) \exp\left\{-t/\left[2.5 \ln\left(\frac{\chi_\infty}{\chi_0}\right) + 2(α + 1/α)^{0.44}\right]\right\} + \frac{\chi_\infty}{\chi_0} \]
If all the parameters are related to the initial state:

\[
\chi(t) = \frac{1}{C_0} \exp \left( \frac{-t}{C_0} \right) \left\{ 1 - \exp \left[ -2(\phi - \phi_{\beta=1})^2 \right] \exp \left[ -t \left/ \left( -5(\phi - \phi_{\beta=1})^2 + 2(\alpha + 1/\alpha)^{0.44} \right) \right. \right] \right\} 
+ \exp \left[ -2(\phi - \phi_{\beta=1})^2 \right]
\]

so that it can be concluded that the main parameters determining \( \chi(t)/\chi_0 \) are the steady value of \( \chi(t)/\chi_0 \) overall mass fraction of component A, \( \phi \), and initial number ratio \( \phi = \phi_{\beta=1} \), when \( \gamma = 1 \).

In order to validate the reliability of this formula prediction, we set \( \alpha = 10 \) as an example with varied \( \beta \). Correspondingly, take \( \phi = 0.077, 0.2, 0.345, 0.46, 0.58, \) and 0.69 as an example. Figure 8 shows the mass-normalized power density of excess component A (\( \chi \)) against time made dimensionless with the characteristic aggregation time scale, \( \tau_{\text{coag}} \), which is the comparison between function prediction and the exponential decay fitting from MC simulation.

We examine whether the general exponential statistics Eq. (19) is valid for more general cases (Case 1 in Table 1) when varying both \( \phi \) and \( \psi \). The predictions from Eq. (19) are shown in Fig. 9. We simulate \( 9 \times 9 \) cases, where \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are given a value among \( 0.1, 0.2, \ldots, 0.90 \), respectively. We present the simulation results and the model prediction from Eq. (18) in Fig. 9, including the standard deviations which are under 0.2 for the 81 cases (obtained by repeating each simulations 5 times). To emphasize, we ignore the cases, of which steady value \( \chi_{\infty}/\chi_0 \) is over 0.9, for its slender evolution possess.
view of the inherent statistical noise of MC simulations, the relation between $C_2$ and the initial feeding conditions is reasonably described by Eq. (18) for composition-independent aggregative mixing ($\gamma = 1$).

### 3.4. $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ as function of $\alpha$ and $\beta$: in the continuum regime

In order to explore the dependency of $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ on the aggregation kernel, the same study is performed now using the kernel for the continuum regime. It is noted that this Brownian aggregation kernel is composition-independent in nature since it is related to the particle size (volume) rather than the particle mass. The fast DWMC is used to simulate 25 valid cases (Case 3 in Table 2) to explore the relation between $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ and the feeding conditions. Again, $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ satisfies the exponential function, as expressed in Eq. (21):

$$
\frac{\chi(t)}{\chi_0} = \left\{ 1 - \exp\left[ -(\phi - \phi_{\beta-1})^2 / \sqrt{2} \right] \right\} \exp\left\{ -t\left[ -10.6(\phi - \phi_{\beta-1})^2 + (\alpha + 1/\alpha)^{0.75} + 6 \right] \right\} \\
+ \exp\left[ -(\phi - \phi_{\beta-1})^2 / \sqrt{2} \right]
$$

### Fig. 7. $C_4$ as a function of $\alpha; \gamma = 1$ in the free molecular regime. The best fit is obtained with Eq. (17). (Case 1).

### Fig. 8. Comparison between prediction and MC simulations of evolution for 6 different combinations of $\phi$ ($\alpha = 1, \gamma = 1$, Case 1).
3.5. $\chi(t)/\chi_0$ as function of $\alpha$ and $\beta$: in the transition regime A and B

Firstly, we certify the steady-state value $\chi_1$ which satisfies different empirical formula Eq. (3) in the transition regime A, and Eq. (4) in the transition regime B. Similarly, the fast DWMC is used to simulate 25 valid cases (Case 4 in Table 2) in the transition regime A and B respectively. In the transition regime A, by the simplified kernel, the conclusion is expressed in Eqs. (22) and (23):

$$C_2 = 2.1 \ln(\chi_∞/\chi_0) + (\alpha + 1/\alpha)^{0.5} + 1$$

$$\frac{\chi(t)}{\chi_0} = \left\{ 1 - \exp\left[-2(\phi - \phi_β - 1)^2\right] \right\} \exp\left\{-t/\left[-4.2(\phi - \phi_β - 1)^2 + (\alpha + 1/\alpha)^{0.5} + 1\right]\right\}$$

$$+ \exp\left[-2(\phi - \phi_β - 1)^2\right]$$

In the transition regime B, with physically realistic kernel, the conclusion is expressed in Eqs. (24) and (25):

$$C_2 = 10\sqrt{2} \ln(\chi_∞/\chi_0) + 6(\alpha + 1/\alpha)^{0.2}$$

$$\frac{\chi(t)}{\chi_0} = \left\{ 1 - \exp\left[-(\phi - \phi_β - 1)^2/\sqrt{2}\right] \right\} \exp\left\{-t/\left[-10(\phi - \phi_β - 1)^2 + 6(\alpha + 1/\alpha)^{0.2}\right]\right\}$$

$$+ \exp\left[-(\phi - \phi_β - 1)^2/\sqrt{2}\right]$$

4. Discussion

$C_2$ is the key parameter to control the evolution of mixing degree $\chi$, the smaller $C_2$, the faster evolving. Figure 10 puts four predictor formulas together. $C_2$ in the free molecular is less than it in the continuum regime under the same $\phi$ and $\psi$, as particles of smaller diameter blend faster. From this point of view, the kernel in transition regime B is more accurate than A, where the value of $C_2$ lies between the free molecular regime and the continuum regime. Thus different kernels lead to different value of $C_2$, which can be used as a new benchmark for testing the kernels in multicomponent aggregation.

In addition, the time-lag for $\chi$ reaching to a steady state is equal to the time-lag for self-preserving distribution (Zhao et al., 2011). Based on Eq. (12), we take the free molecular regime as an example. When $\chi(t)/\chi_0=0.99\chi_∞/\chi_0$, the steady-state is reached. And the time-lag can be calculated as:

$$t_{lag} = \left[ \ln\left(\frac{0.01\chi_∞}{\chi_0}\right) \right] \left[ 2.5 \ln\left(\frac{\chi_∞}{\chi_0}\right) + 2(\alpha + 1/\alpha)^{0.44} \right]$$

where the unit of time is characteristic aggregation time scale $τ_{coag}$, which is defined as $1/(2K_0N_0)$.

Also the compositional distribution is able to be calculated through the predict formula in the feeding condition. Since all the above fitting formula is obtained under the condition of $g=1$, here we further investigate the dependence of $\chi$. Using case 2, the synthesis of FePt nanoparticles is taken as an example (Lin et al., 2009). We use Eq. (19) to calculate dimensionless mixing degree and substitute it into Eq. (2) for composition distribution. The result is well matched as Fig. 11 show.
Thus Eq. (19) is valuable in the free molecular regime when $\gamma = \frac{\rho_{Fe}}{\rho_{Pt}}$. Zhao & Kruis (2014) certified Eq. (3) seemed to be able to predict $\chi_{1}/\chi_{0}$ reasonably, but with a decreasing reliability. However, when we change the $\gamma$ value, or take other regimes with $\gamma \neq 1$, the reliability of the prediction function varies, for both steady-state value and process value. There requires further study to determine a more accurate formula on various $\gamma$.

5. Conclusions

The feeding condition is of vital importance for two-component aggregative mixing, where the whole evolution process is able to be predicted based on the initial mixture state of the components, e.g. the ratio of the particle volume of the two components at the start of the aggregation process. With respect to two-component aggregative mixing due to Brownian coagulation with initially bidisperse distributions, simulations demonstrate the changing over time of mass-normalized power density of excess component A, $\chi(t)$ can be characterized by an exponential function with a known steady-state value of $\chi(\chi_{\infty})$. This exponential-type function is determined by the number ratio and the particle diameter ratio between two components. We add the fitting function with the overall mass fraction of component A ($\Phi$) and steady-state mixing degree ($\chi_{\infty}$) to simplify the formation. A good estimation of $\chi(t)$ is given by the exponential function in Eq. (19) for Brownian...
aggregation in the free molecular regime, Eq. (21) in the continuum regime, and Eqs. (23) and (25) in the transition regime for different kernel. So the time to reach the steady-state is known and the probability density $g(m_m,m_l)$ is able to achieve in advance, e.g., the mixing degree during two-component aggregation process. The proposed functions would be conducive to control the whole experimental investigation evolution of the composition of sufficiently large numbers of individual particles formed by bicomponent aggregation.
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